



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4812 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

MEMORIAL HERMANN HOSPITAL SYSTEM
3200 SW FREEWAY SUITE 2200
HOUSTON TX 77027

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

01

Respondent Name

LIBERTY INSURANCE CORP

MFDR Date Received

JULY 13, 2006

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-06-7087-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary Dated July 12, 2006: "This patient was brought to Memorial Hermann Hospital and admitted through the ER due to major trauma from an on-the-job accident."

Requestor's Supplemental Position Summary Dated November 15, 2011 and November 28, 2011:

"The Court further determined that to apply the Stop-Loss Exception, a hospital is required to demonstrate that its total audited charges exceed \$40,000, and the admission involved unusually costly and unusually extensive services to receive reimbursement under the Stop-Loss method". "Based upon this information, Memorial Hermann has met its burden under the Stop-Loss exception and is entitled to the additional reimbursement."

Affidavit of Michael C. Bennett dated November 14, 2011: "I am the System Executive of Patient Business Services for Memorial Hermann Healthcare System (the 'Hospital')." "The attached Exhibit A is the itemized statement and claim form that provides a record of information for services and supplies that the Hospital provided to the patient. This patient was admitted and surgically treated at the Hospital from July 16, 2005 through August 12, 2005. The medical records indicate that this injured worker underwent multiple surgeries due to severe trauma to his face from being hit by an air wrench. The patient had multiple fractures, cuts and abrasions that required multiple surgical intervention and an extended hospital stay. The patient was hospitalized for 26 days due to the severe trauma which required extensive services and supplies." "Due to the nature of the patient's injuries and need for surgical intervention, the admission required unusually costly services."

Affidavit of Patricia L. Metzger dated November 21, 2011: "I am the Chief of Care Management for Memorial Hermann Healthcare System (the 'Hospital')." "Based upon my review of the records, my education, training, and experience in patient care management, I can state that based upon the patient's diagnosis and extent of injury, the services and surgical procedures performed on this patient were complicated and unusually extensive."

Amount in Dispute: \$81,775.57

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Packet Dated August 29, 2006: "Our position remains the same. We have no further information to add."

Response Submitted by: Liberty Mutual Insurance Group, 2875 Browns Bridge Road, Gainesville, GA 30504

Respondent's Supplemental Position Summary Dated November 30, 2011: "Requestor has failed to meet the Austin Third Court of Appeals' mandate that, to qualify for reimbursement under the Stop-Loss Exception (former 28 Tex. Admin. Code §134.401(c)(6) a hospital must demonstrate two things: the services it provided during the admission were unusually costly and unusually extensive, and its total audited charges exceeded \$40,000... Because Requestor has not met its burden of demonstrating unusually extensive services, and the documentation adduced thus far fails to provide any rationale for the Requestor's qualification for payment under the Stop-Loss Exception, Respondent appropriately issued payment. No additional monies are due to the Requestor."

Response Submitted by: Hanna & Plaut L.L.P., Attorneys At Law, Southwest Tower 211 East Seventh Street, Suite 600, Austin, Texas 78701

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Disputed Dates	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
July 16, 2005 through August 2, 2005	Inpatient Hospital Services	\$81,775.57	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 and §133.307, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to requests filed on or after January 1, 2003, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5)(A), effective August 1, 1997, 22 *Texas Register* 6264, requires that when "Trauma (ICD-9 codes 800.0-959.50)" diagnosis codes are listed as the primary diagnosis, reimbursement for the entire admission shall be at a fair and reasonable rate.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 *Texas Register* 4047, requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."
4. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.

The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of Benefits

- M, W10, Z601-The charge exceeds usual and customary.
- F, W1, Z695-The charges for this hospitalization have been reduced based on the fee schedule allowance.
- F, W1, Z560-The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule or usual and customary allowance.
- W1, Z656-Any request for reconsideration of this workers' compensation payment should be accompanied by a copy of this explanation of review.
- W1, Z989-The amount paid previously was less than is due. The current recommended amount is the result of supplemental payment.

Findings

1. This dispute relates to inpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5)(A), which requires that when "Trauma (ICD-9 codes 800.0-959.50)" diagnosis codes are listed as the primary diagnosis, reimbursement for the entire admission shall be at a fair and reasonable rate. Review of box 67 on the hospital bill finds that the

principle diagnosis code is listed as 802.8. The Division therefore determines that this inpatient admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate pursuant to Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 and Texas Labor Code §413.011(d).

2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(B), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including “a copy of any pertinent medical records.” Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has not provided copies of all medical records pertinent to the services in dispute. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.307(g)(3)(B).
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide “documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.” Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
 - The requestor asks to be reimbursed the full amount of the billed charges in support of which the requestor states “The Court further determined that to apply the Stop-Loss Exception, a hospital is required to demonstrate that its total audited charges exceed \$40,000, and the admission involved unusually costly and unusually extensive services to receive reimbursement under the Stop-Loss method”. “Based upon this information, Memorial Hermann has met its burden under the Stop-Loss exception and is entitled to the additional reimbursement.”
 - The requestor does not discuss or explain how additional payment of \$81,775.57 would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement.
 - The requestor did not provide documentation to demonstrate how it determined its usual and customary charges for the disputed services.
 - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.
 - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.
 - The Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a hospital's billed charges, or a percentage of billed charges, does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was considered and rejected by the Division in the *Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline* adoption preamble which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 (July 4, 1997) that:

“A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources.”

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

_____ Signature	_____ Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	_____ 10/26/2012 Date
--------------------	---	-----------------------------

_____ Signature	_____ Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Manager	_____ 10/26/2012 Date
--------------------	---	-----------------------------

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.****

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.